IN AND BEFORE THE OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE AND SUPERVISION STATE OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE)
APPLICATION OF)
)
)
ROBERT L. WHITE, P.A.,)
)
)
FOR REINSTATEMENT OF)
LICENSE NO. PA33)
)

NOV 1 6 2006

OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE & SUPERVISION

Case No. 00-07-2225

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

This matter came on for hearing before the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision on November 2, 2006, at the Board office, 5104 North Francis, Suite C, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118, pursuant to notice given as required by law and rules of the Board.

Robert L. White, P.A., Applicant, appeared in person and pro se.

Elizabeth A. Scott, Assistant Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision.

The Board *en banc* heard testimony, reviewed the exhibits presented, and being fully apprised of the premises, entered the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Orders:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Applicant previously held Oklahoma Physician Assistant License No. PA33.

2. On May 3, 2001, the Board revoked Applicant's license for unprofessional conduct in violation of 59 O.S. §509(9), OAC 435:15-5-11(7), and OAC 435:10-7-4((11), (19), (28) and (39).

3. On July 18, 2002, the Board denied Applicant's request for reinstatement of his license on the grounds that he had not sustained his burden of proof that he be of good moral character.

4. On July 20, 2006, Applicant applied for reinstatement of his license. Questions were raised regarding the proposed supervision of the Applicant by James Hogin, D.O. By Order

dated July 20, 2006, the Board tabled Applicant's request for reinstatement until Dr. Hogin made a personal appearance before the Board to respond to the Board's questions.

5. On November 2, 2006, both Applicant and Dr. Hogin appeared before the Board to respond to the Board's questions regarding Dr. Hogin's proposed supervision of Applicant.

6. The Board *en banc* has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein, and notice has been given in all respects as required by law and the rules of the Board.

7. Applicant has failed to show that he meets all requirements for licensure, including but not limited to, the requirement that he submit satisfactory evidence that he has the ability to practice with reasonable skill and safety.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein pursuant to 59 O.S. §480 et seq. and §519 et seq.

2. The Applicant has failed to sustain his burden of proof that he has met all requirements for reinstatement of his physician assistant license, including, but not limited to, the requirement that he submit satisfactory evidence that he has the ability to practice with reasonable skill and safety.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision as follows:

1. Applicant's request for reinstatement of his physician assistant license shall be **DENIED.**

2. A copy of this written order shall be sent to Applicant as soon as it is processed.

Dated this 16 day of November, 2006.

Gerald C. Zumwalt, M.D., Secretary Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision

Certificate of Service

On the $\boxed{7}$ day of November, 2006, a true and correct copy of this order was mailed, postage prepaid, to the Applicant, Robert White, 2905 Misty Ridge Drive, Norman, OK 73071.

Janet Swindle