# IN AND BEFORE THE OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE AND SUPERVISION STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA EX REL. THE OKLAHOMA BOARD	SEP 1 7 2009
OF MEDICAL LICENSURE AND SUPERVISION,	OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE & SUPERVISION
Plaintiff	) }
<b>v.</b>	) Case No. 09-03-3705
LEONARDO HERMAN CLARAVALL, M.D., LICENSE NO. 10412,	) )
Defendant.	)

## **VOLUNTARY SUBMITTAL TO JURISDICTION**

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision (the "Board"), by and through its attorney, Elizabeth A. Scott, Assistant Attorney General for the State of Oklahoma and the staff of the Board, as represented by the Secretary of the Board, Gerald C. Zumwalt, M.D., and the Executive Director of the Board, Lyle Kelsey, and the Defendant, Leonardo Herman Claravall, M.D., Oklahoma license no. 10412, who appears in person and through counsel, Michael Matthews, offer this Agreement as a settlement offer subject to the provisions of 12 O.S. §2408 for acceptance by the Board *en banc* pursuant to Section 435:5-1-5.1 of the Oklahoma Administrative Code ("OAC").

## AGREEMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT BY DEFENDANT

By voluntarily submitting to jurisdiction and entering into this Order, Defendant pleads guilty to some of the allegations in the Complaint and Citation filed herein on July 10, 2009 and further acknowledges that hearing before the Board would result in some sanction under the Oklahoma Allopathic Medical and Surgical Licensure and Supervision Act (the "Act").

Defendant, Leonardo Herman Claravall, M.D., states that he is of sound mind and is not under the influence of, or impaired by, any medication or drug and that he fully recognizes his right to appear before the Board for evidentiary hearing on the allegations made against him. Defendant hereby voluntarily waives his right to a full hearing, submits to the jurisdiction of the Board and agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of this Order. Defendant acknowledges that he has read and understands the terms and conditions stated herein, and that this Agreement has been reviewed and discussed with him by his legal counsel.

#### PARTIES' AGREEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

Plaintiff, Defendant and the Board staff stipulate and agree as follows:

# Findings of Fact

- 1. The Board is a duly authorized agency of the State of Oklahoma empowered to license and oversee the activities of physicians and surgeons in the State of Oklahoma pursuant to 59 Okla. Stat. §§ 480 et seq.
- 2. Defendant, Leonardo Herman Claravall, M.D., holds Oklahoma license no. 15171.

## PRIOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

3. On or about January 23, 1998, the Board entered a Voluntary Submittal to Jurisdiction and Agreed Order whereby Defendant's license was **SUSPENDED** for a period of **SIXTY (60) DAYS**, to be followed by a **FIVE (5) YEAR** term of **PROBATION** due to a finding that he prescribed a drug without sufficient examination and the establishment of a valid physician/patient relationship, he aided or abetted the unlicensed practice of medicine, he engaged in gross or repeated negligence, he allowed another person to use his physician's license to practice medicine and that he failed to maintain effective controls against the diversion of controlled dangerous substances. Specifically, Defendant allowed his sisters, as well as his brother-in-law to examine patients, dispense controlled drugs to the patients, and to hold themselves out as licensed physicians when they were not in fact licensed in any state. These actions occurred primarily when Defendant was not on the premises. Defendant's probation ended March 23, 2003.

## CURRENT UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS

# VIOLATION OF DEA AND OBN REGISTRATION LAWS

- 4. On or about December 1, 2004, Defendant's registration to prescribe controlled dangerous substances with the Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs expired due to Defendant's failure to renew his registration.
- 5. On or about August 31, 2008, Defendant's registration to prescribe controlled dangerous substances with the Drug Enforcement Agency expired due to his failure to renew his registration.

- 6. Throughout the period of time when Defendant's DEA and OBN registrations had expired, Defendant continued to prescribe controlled dangerous substances to his patients. A review of the Prescription Monitoring Program revealed that Defendant has written or authorized over three-thousand (3000) prescriptions for controlled dangerous substances for the period February 1, 2008 through February 1, 2009 during which time he did not possess both a DEA and OBN registration.
- 7. On or about January 23, 2009, OBN Agent Mark Stewart confronted Defendant about his continued prescribing of controlled dangerous substances while failing to possess valid OBN and DEA permits. Defendant admitted to Agent Stewart that he had written prescriptions for controlled dangerous substances without valid OBN and DEA permits. Defendant agreed with Agent Stewart that he would not prescribe any controlled dangerous substances until he obtained valid OBN and DEA permits.
- 8. On or about March 17, 2009, Agent Stewart and Board Investigator Steve Washbourne confronted Defendant about new claims that Defendant had continued to prescribe controlled dangerous substances after his meeting with Agent Stewart on January 23, 2009 but before he obtained both OBN and DEA permits. Defendant admitted that he had in fact prescribed controlled dangerous substances during this time based upon the fact that he had submitted his application and a check for an OBN permit. Defendant admitted that he had not received any OBN registration certificate confirming that he was allowed to prescribe controlled dangerous substances.

#### PRESCRIBING VIOLATIONS

- 9. From February 20, 2007 until February 21, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized one-hundred forty-two (142) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to Patient GRW for alleged back pain and depression. These prescriptions include eighty-nine (89) prescriptions for Phendimetrazine, Hydrocodone and Acetaminophen/Codeine, Schedule III controlled dangerous drugs, for a total of 6,484 dosage units, and fifty-three (53) prescriptions for Soma, Alprazolam and Phentermine, Schedule IV controlled dangerous drugs, for a total of 2,428 dosage units, for an average of 12.17 dosage units per day of controlled dangerous drugs. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that he performed only a minimal physical examination on this patient at the first appointment and for the next two (2) years, no adequate physical examination prior to prescribing the controlled dangerous drugs other than recording vital signs, that he did not order appropriate tests, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. The majority of Defendant's chart on this patient reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed and vital signs. Defendant's chart reflects multiple visits without any physical findings.
- 10. From January 11, 2007 until February 27, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized two-hundred fifteen (215) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to Patient CCW for alleged back pain and weight loss. These prescriptions include one-hundred thirty-five (135) prescriptions for Phendimetrazine and Hydrocodone, Schedule III controlled dangerous drugs, for

7,095 dosage units, and eighty (80) prescriptions for Soma and Xanax, Schedule IV controlled dangerous drugs, for 2,400 dosage units, for an average of 12.20 dosage units per day of controlled dangerous drugs. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that he failed to perform any physical examination on this patient during this time prior to prescribing the controlled dangerous drugs, that he did not order appropriate tests, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. Defendant's chart during this time reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed. Defendant's chart reflects multiple visits without any physical findings.

- From April 18, 2008 until February 4, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized fifty-11. five (55) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to Patient SDW for weight loss. These prescriptions include thirty-six (36) prescriptions for Phendimetrazine, Acetaminophen/Codeine and Tussionex Susp., Schedule III controlled dangerous drugs, for 2,632 dosage units, and nineteen (19) prescriptions for Soma and Xanax, Schedule IV controlled dangerous drugs, for a total of 510 dosage units, for an average of 10.76 dosage units per day of controlled dangerous drugs. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that he performed only a minimal physical examination on this patient at the first appointment and for the next year, no adequate physical examination prior to prescribing the controlled dangerous drugs other than recording vital signs, that he did not order appropriate tests, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. Defendant's chart on this patient reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed and vital signs. Defendant's chart reflects multiple visits without any physical findings.
- From March 3, 2007 until January 10, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized onehundred thirty-six (136) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to Patient PDW for weight loss. These prescriptions include twenty-four (24) prescriptions for Hydrocodone, a Schedule III controlled dangerous drug, for 850 dosage units, and one-hundred twelve (112) prescriptions for Ambien, Soma, Xanax, Phentermine and Zolpidem, Schedule IV controlled dangerous drugs, for 4,590 dosage units, for an average of 8.01 dosage units per day of controlled dangerous drugs. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that he performed little to no complete physical examinations other than on the first visit other than recording vital signs, that he did not order appropriate tests, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. The majority of Defendant's chart on this patient reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed and vital signs. Defendant's chart additionally reflects multiple visits without any physical findings. Patient PDW died on February 13, 2009 due to prescription drug overdose. A review of the PMP reveals that Patient PDW was receiving controlled dangerous substances from numerous physicians in addition to Defendant during this time period.

- 13. From January 11, 2007 until February 23, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized sixty-three (63) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to SFW for weight control. These prescriptions include thirty-nine (39) prescriptions for Phendimetrazine and twenty-four (24) prescriptions for Hydrocodone, Schedule III controlled dangerous drugs, for 5,098 dosage units. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that while he performed a physical examination on the patient when he first treated her in 1995, he failed to perform complete physical examinations thereafter other than recording vital signs, that he did not order appropriate tests, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. Defendant's chart reflects little justification for the prolonged use of Hydrocodone. The majority of Defendant's chart on this patient reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed and vital signs. Defendant's chart additionally reflects multiple visits without any physical findings.
- 14. From October 10, 2007 until January 31, 2009, Defendant wrote or authorized thirty-one (31) prescriptions for controlled dangerous drugs to Patient RJW for alleged muscle and shoulder pain. These prescriptions include fifteen (15) prescriptions for Hydrocodone and Phendimetrazine, Schedule III controlled dangerous drugs, for 746 dosage units, and sixteen (16) prescriptions for Phentermine and Xanax, Schedule IV controlled dangerous drugs, for 840 dosage units. Defendant's chart on this patient reveals that while he performed a physical examination on the patient when he first treated her in 1996, he failed to perform complete physical examinations thereafter other than recording vital signs, that he did not establish a legitimate medical need for the medications, that he did not order appropriate tests, and that he did not maintain an office record which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment and medical necessity of treatment of the patient. The majority of Defendant's chart on this patient reflects numerous visits with no documentation other than a listing of drugs prescribed and vital signs. Defendant's chart additionally reflects multiple visits without any physical findings.

# 15. Defendant is guilty of unprofessional conduct in that he:

- A. Engaged in dishonorable or immoral conduct which is likely to deceive, defraud or harm the public in violation of 59 O.S. § 509 (8) and OAC 435:10-7-4 (11).
- B. Engaged in practice or other behavior that demonstrates an incapacity or incompetence to practice medicine and surgery in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(18).
- C. Violated any provision of the medical practice act or the rules and regulations of the Board or of an action, stipulation, or agreement of the Board in violation of 59 O.S. §509 (13) and OAC 435:10-7-4(39).

- D. Failed to maintain an office record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment, and medical necessity of treatment of the patient in violation of 59 O.S. \$509 (18) and OAC 435:10-7-4(41).
- E. Violated any state or federal law or regulation relating to controlled substances in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(27).
- F. Prescribed or administered a drug or treatment without sufficient examination and the establishment of a valid physician patient relationship in violation of 59 O.S. \$509 (12).
- G. Prescribed, dispensed or administered a controlled substance or narcotic drugs in excess of the amount considered good medical practice, or prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs without medical need in accordance with published standards in violation of 59 O.S. 509(16).
- H. Engaged in the indiscriminate or excessive prescribing, dispensing or administering of controlled or narcotic drugs in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(1).
- I. Prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs in excess of the amount considered good medical practice or prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs without medical need in accordance with published standard in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(2) and (6).
- J. Confessed to a crime involving the violation of the antinarcotic or prohibition laws and regulations of the federal government or the laws of this state in violation of 59 O.S. 509(7).
- K. Is physically or mentally unable to practice medicine and surgery with reasonable skill and safety in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(17).

#### Conclusions of Law

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction and authority over the Defendant and subject matter herein pursuant to the Oklahoma Allopathic Medical and Surgical Licensure and Supervision Act (the "Act") and its applicable regulations. The Board is authorized to enforce the Act as necessary to protect the public health, safety and welfare.
- 2. Based on the foregoing facts, Defendant, Leonardo Herman Claravall, M.D., Oklahoma license 10412, is guilty of the unprofessional conduct set forth below:
  - A. Engaged in dishonorable or immoral conduct which is likely to deceive, defraud or harm the public in violation of 59 O.S. § 509 (8) and OAC 435:10-7-4 (11).
  - B. Engaged in practice or other behavior that demonstrates an incapacity or incompetence to practice medicine and surgery in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(18).
  - C. Violated any provision of the medical practice act or the rules and regulations of the Board or of an action, stipulation, or agreement of the Board in violation of 59 O.S. \$509 (13) and OAC 435:10-7-4(39).
  - D. Failed to maintain an office record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation, treatment, and medical necessity of treatment of the patient in violation of 59 O.S. §509 (18) and OAC 435:10-7-4(41).
  - E. Violated any state or federal law or regulation relating to controlled substances in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(27).
  - F. Prescribed or administered a drug or treatment without sufficient examination and the establishment of a valid physician patient relationship in violation of 59 O.S. §509 (12).
  - G. Prescribed, dispensed or administered a controlled substance or narcotic drugs in excess of the amount considered good medical practice, or prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs without medical need in accordance with published standards in violation of 59 O.S. 509(16).

- H. Engaged in the indiscriminate or excessive prescribing, dispensing or administering of controlled or narcotic drugs in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(1).
- I. Prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs in excess of the amount considered good medical practice or prescribed, dispensed or administered controlled substances or narcotic drugs without medical need in accordance with published standard in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(2) and (6).
- J. Confessed to a crime involving the violation of the antinarcotic or prohibition laws and regulations of the federal government or the laws of this state in violation of 59 O.S. 509(7).
- K. Is physically or mentally unable to practice medicine and surgery with reasonable skill and safety in violation of OAC 435:10-7-4(17).

#### Order

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision as follows:

- 1. The Board *en banc* hereby adopts the agreement of the parties in this Voluntary Submittal to Jurisdiction.
- 2. The license of Defendant, **LEONARDO HERMANCLARVALL**, **M.D** Oklahoma license no. 10412, is hereby **SUSPENDED** for a period of **SIXTY (60) DAYS** beginning September 17, 2009 and continuing until November 16, 2009.
- 3. Pursuant to the parties' voluntary agreement and submittal to jurisdiction, Defendant shall be placed on **PROBATION** for a period of **FIVE** (5) **YEARS** following his suspension under the following terms and conditions:
  - A. Defendant will conduct his practice in compliance with the Oklahoma Allopathic Medical and Surgical Licensure and Supervision Act as interpreted by the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision. Any question of interpretation regarding said Act shall be submitted in writing to the Board and no action based on the subject of the question will be taken by

Defendant until clarification of interpretation is received by Defendant from the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision.

- B. Upon request of the Board Secretary, Defendant will request all hospitals in which he anticipates practicing to furnish to the Board Secretary of the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision a written statement regarding monitoring of his practice while performing services in or to that hospital.
- C. Defendant will furnish to each and every state in which he holds licensure or applies for licensure and hospitals, clinics or other institutions in which he holds or anticipates holding any form of staff privilege or employment, a copy of the Board Order stipulating sanctions imposed by the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision.
- D. Within six (6) months of the date of this Order, Defendant shall complete a course on prescribing and required record keeping at a facility approved in advance by the Board Secretary. Defendant shall provide to the Board Secretary proof of completion of said class.
- E. Within six (6) months of the date of this Order, Defendant shall complete a course on pain management at a facility approved in advance by the Board Secretary. Defendant shall provide to the Board Secretary proof of completion of said class.
- G. Defendant will keep the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision informed of his current address.
- H. Defendant will keep current payment of all assessments by the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision for prosecution, investigation and monitoring of his case, including but not limited to a \$100.00 per month probation monitoring fee.
- I. Until such time as all indebtedness to the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision has been satisfied, Defendant will reaffirm said indebtedness in any and all bankruptcy proceedings.
- J. Defendant shall make himself available for one or more personal appearances before the Board or its designee upon request.

- K. Defendant shall submit any required reports and forms on a timely, accurate and prompt basis to the Compliance Coordinator or designee.
- L. Failure to meet any of the terms of this Board Order will constitute cause for the Board to initiate additional proceedings to suspend, revoke or modify Defendant's license after due notice and hearing.
- M. Defendant will not supervise allied health professionals that require surveillance of a licensed physician.
- N. Defendant will not prescribe, administer, dispense or possess any drugs in Schedules II through III.
- O. Upon completion of the continuing medical education set forth in paragraphs D and E above, Defendant may come before the Board and request that his probation be modified to allow him to prescribe controlled dangerous substances in Schedules II and III.
- P. During the term of probation, Defendant shall allow the Board Secretary or his designee to monitor his patient charts.
- 4. Defendant's suspension will be lifted, and his license will be reinstated only upon payment in full of all costs and expenses incurred by the State of Oklahoma prior to September 18, 2009.
  - 5. A copy of this written order shall be sent to Defendant as soon as it is processed.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of September, 2009.

Curtis Harris, M.D., President Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision AGREED AND APPROVED:

Leonardo Herman Claravall, M.D.

License No. 10412

Gerald C. Zumwalt M.D. Secretary & Medical Advisor

Oklahoma State Board of

Medical Licensure and Supervision

Michael Matthews, OBA #22226 Dean and Matthews, PLLC

4501 N. Classen Blvd., Suite 102 Oklahoma City, OK 73118

405/843-8700

zabeth A. Scott, OBA #12470

Assistant Attorney General

State of Oklahoma

5104 N. Francis, Suite C

Oklahoma City, OK 73118

405/848-6841

Attorney for the Defendant

Attorney for the Oklahoma State Board Of Medical Licensure and Supervision

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the  $\frac{18}{12}$  day of May, 2007, I mailed, via first class mail, postage pre-paid, a true and correct copy of this pleading to Mark Hammons, Hammons & Associates, Inc., 325 Dean A. McGee, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.